## LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS

200 W. Washington, Suite 301 Indianapolis, IN 46204 (317) 233-0696 http://www.in.gov/legislative

## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

**LS 6257 NOTE PREPARED:** Jan 21, 2014 **BILL NUMBER:** SB 63 **BILL AMENDED:** Jan 15, 2014

**SUBJECT:** Nonsupport of a Child.

FIRST AUTHOR: Sen. Young R Michael BILL STATUS: 2<sup>nd</sup> Reading - 1<sup>st</sup> House

FIRST SPONSOR:

FUNDS AFFECTED: X GENERAL IMPACT: State & Local

DEDICATED FEDERAL

<u>Summary of Legislation:</u> The bill changes the penalty enhancement for nonsupport of a child from a Level 6 felony to a Level 5 felony if the person has a previous conviction for the offense.

It changes the procedure for a court to lower the penalty for a person convicted of nonsupport of a child.

(The introduced version of this bill was prepared by the Criminal Law and Sentencing Policy Study Committee.)

Effective Date: July 1, 2014.

**Explanation of State Expenditures:** (Revised) The bill potentially decreases costs to incarcerate offenders who commit nonsupport of a child. A change in the conditions triggering the enhanced penalty is estimated to result in five fewer full-year-equivalent stays in a DOC facility during the first two years with a reduction in expenditures of \$16,334 to \$63,875. Periods of incarceration and costs may begin to increase in later years.

Under current law, the crime is a Level 6 felony, and it may be enhanced to a Level 5 felony if the unpaid support is at least \$15,000. Under the bill, the condition for the enhanced penalty is repealed, and instead an offender with a prior conviction for the same crime would be a Level 5 felony.

This estimate is based on the assumption that offenders who were Level 5 offenders, but did not have prior convictions would become Level 6 offenders, and that Level 6 offenders who did have prior convictions would become Level 5 offenders. [There are no data available in the DOC admissions records to indicate

SB 63 1

what the prior crime was, so there may be some offenders who would not receive the alternate penalty.]

	2015	2016	Total
Level 5 Offenders without priors	-10	-20	-30
Level 6 Offenders with priors	6	19	25
Difference	-4	-1	-5

## **Explanation of State Revenues:**

## **Explanation of Local Expenditures:**

**Explanation of Local Revenues:** 

State Agencies Affected: Department of Correction.

**<u>Local Agencies Affected:</u>** County sheriffs.

<u>Information Sources:</u> DOC Offender Information System.

**Fiscal Analyst:** Karen Firestone Rossen, 317-234-2106

SB 63 2